

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

13 On February 10, 2012, Defendant filed a Motion for Publication  
14 (#108) of our Order (#106), claiming that our Order (#106) contained  
15 important analysis of a statute that has not yet been the subject of  
16 many cases, namely, our interpretation that Nev. Rev. Stat. §  
17 686A.310 does not require an insurer to make partial payments on a  
18 single claim when it is in dispute. On February 24, 2012, Plaintiff  
19 filed an opposition (#110), and we decline to publish our Order  
20 (#106) because we agree with Plaintiff that our Order (#106) did not  
21 analyze Nev. Rev. Stat. § 686A.310 in depth and should not be  
22 published as guidance on that provision.

23        IT IS, THEREFORE, HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant's Motion (#108)  
24 for Publication shall be denied.

26 | DATED: May 8, 2012.

Edward C. Reed.  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE